SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJAST_5723**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Monitoring of gross alpha around some industrial regions in Egypt**
Type of the Article	**Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The Table of result is missing. The discussion does not mention previous work done in the area elsewhere.** **The author need to revise the manuscript**	In my opinion, I prefer to represent the data on two figures (2, 3). I appreciate your advice and kind cooperation.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		